AHVAP
Association of Healthcare Value Analysis Professionals

THE CRITICAL NEED FOR IMPROVED INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE FOR MEDICAL DEVICES IN HEALTHCARE: THE TIME FOR ACTION IS NOW

EDITORS:

J. HUDSON GARRETT JR.
Ph.D., MSN, MPH, MBA, FNP-BC, IP-BC, PLNC, VA-BC, BC-MSLcert™, CMRP, HACP-IC, LTC-CIP, CIC, ICE-CCP, MSL-BC, CPPS, CCHR-S, CPHQ, CVAHP™, CPXP, CDIPC, CPHRM, FACDONA, FAAPM, FACHDM, FNAP, FACHE, FSHEA, FIDSA, FAHVAP

KAREN NIVEN
MS, BSN, RN, CVAHP™, FACHDM, FNAP, FAHVAP

CONTRIBUTORS:

SHARON ROBERTS
BSN, RN, CVAHP™, Committee Chair

ANNE MARIE ORLANDO
MBA, BS, RN, RCIS, CVAHP™, FACHDM, PNAP, FAHVAP, AHVAP Board Liaison

J. HUDSON GARRETT JR.
Ph.D., MSN, MPH, MBA, FNP-BC, IP-BC, PLNC, VA-BC, BC-MSLcert™, CMRP, HACP-IC, LTC-CIP, CIC, ICE-CCP, MSL-BC, CPPS, CCHR-S, CPHQ, CVAHP™, CPXP, CDIPC, CPHRM, FACDONA, FAAPM, FACHDM, FNAP, FACHE, FSHEA, FIDSA, FAHVAP

THERESA MAAS
MSN, RN, NE-BC, CVAHP

PATRICIA A. MCCAULEY
MSN, BSN, RN

KAREN NIVEN
MS, BSN, RN, CVAHP™, FAHVAP

KAREN ROBBINS
DNP, RN, CPA, CVAHP™, CMRP

JOANNE SULLIVAN
BSA, CVAHP™

KACEY WEAR
MS, BSN, RN, CEN, CVAHP™

The Association of Healthcare Value Analysis Professionals, Inc. (AHVAP)

(888) 400-6844

2870 Peachtree Rd NW #915-7500

Atlanta, GA 30305

info@ahvap.org

www.ahvap.org

Main: (888) 400-6844
2870 Peachtree Rd NW #915-7500
Atlanta, GA 30305

AHVAP
Association of Healthcare Value Analysis Professionals

INTRODUCTION

The Association of Healthcare Value Analysis Professionals (AHVAP) is dedicated to enhancing the safety, quality, and value of medical devices within healthcare settings. An essential component of this mission is the provision of clear, comprehensive, and user-friendly Instructions for Use (IFU) for medical devices. This position paper outlines the urgent need for improvements in IFUs, highlighting the importance of better collaboration with industry partners on reprocessing instructions, the necessity for human-factors validated instructions, and the imperative for easily understandable guidelines. By addressing these needs, AHVAP aims to improve patient safety, optimize device performance, and support healthcare professionals in delivering high-quality care.

CURRENT FDA REQUIREMENTS ON HUMAN FACTORS VALIDATION FOR INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE FOR MEDICAL DEVICES

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has recognized the critical role that human factors play in the safe and effective use of medical devices. As such, the FDA has established comprehensive guidelines and requirements for human factors validation, particularly in the development of Instructions for Use (IFU). These requirements aim to ensure that medical devices are designed with the end-user in mind, minimizing the potential for use errors that could lead to patient harm. The FDA's guidance documents outline the processes and best practices for incorporating human factors engineering into medical device design and evaluation.

HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING PROCESS

The FDA emphasizes a systematic human factors engineering process, which involves understanding the context in which the device will be used, identifying potential use-related risks, and mitigating those risks through design and validation. This process starts with a thorough analysis of the user needs and the tasks they will perform with the device. The goal is to identify critical tasks that could impact safety and effectiveness. These tasks are then subjected to rigorous human factors testing, where actual users interact with the device and its IFU in simulated or real-world environments to identify any potential usability issues.

RISK-BASED APPROACH

A key aspect of the FDA's requirements is the risk-based approach to human factors validation. Devices that present a higher risk to patients if used incorrectly are subject to more stringent human factors evaluations. For instance, devices that are life-sustaining or life-supporting, or those that could cause significant harm if misused, require comprehensive human factors studies. These studies must demonstrate that the IFU and the device design effectively mitigate the identified risks and support safe and effective use. The FDA expects manufacturers to provide detailed documentation of these evaluations as part of the pre-market submission process.

Main: (888) 400-6844
2870 Peachtree Rd NW #915-7500
Atlanta, GA 30305

VALIDATION TESTING

The FDA's guidelines for human factors validation also specify the need for validation testing under realistic conditions. This involves usability testing with representative users, in environments that mimic actual use conditions as closely as possible. The purpose of this testing is to verify that the IFU is clear, understandable, and effective in guiding users through the safe and correct use of the device. The FDA recommends that these tests include both experienced and inexperienced users to ensure that the instructions are universally accessible. Any issues identified during validation testing must be addressed and resolved before the device can be approved for market entry.

ITERATIVE DESIGN AND IMPROVEMENT

Another important requirement from the FDA is the iterative design and improvement process. Human factors validation is not a one-time activity but an ongoing process that continues throughout the product development lifecycle. Manufacturers are expected to use the findings from human factors testing to iteratively improve the device and its IFU. This iterative approach ensures that any identified usability issues are promptly addressed, and the instructions are continually refined to enhance clarity and effectiveness. The FDA encourages manufacturers to incorporate user feedback and lessons learned from post-market surveillance into this ongoing improvement process.

DOCUMENTATION AND COMPLIANCE

Compliance with the FDA's human factors validation requirements involve thorough documentation of the entire human factors engineering process. Manufacturers must provide detailed records of their user needs analysis, risk assessments, human factors studies, validation testing, and any subsequent design iterations. This documentation is critical for demonstrating compliance during the FDA's review process. Additionally, the FDA expects manufacturers to maintain this documentation as part of their quality system, ensuring that it is available for inspection and audit. By adhering to these documentation requirements, manufacturers can ensure that their devices meet the FDA's standards for safety and effectiveness, ultimately protecting patients and healthcare providers. In addition, manufacturer’s instructions for use documents and training tools should be readily accessible to end users of the devices and not be behind any type of password-protected website to maximize ease-of use and instant accessibility.

THE CRITICAL NEED FOR BETTER REPROCESSING INSTRUCTIONS

Proper reprocessing of medical devices is paramount to prevent healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and ensure patient safety. Reprocessing involves the cleaning, disinfecting, and sterilizing of medical devices for reuse. However, current reprocessing instructions often suffer from complexity and lack of standardization, posing significant challenges to healthcare providers.

Main: (888) 400-6844
2870 Peachtree Rd NW #915-7500
Atlanta, GA 30305

1. **Complexity and Variability:** Reprocessing instructions can vary widely between manufacturers and even between similar devices from the same manufacturer. This lack of consistency can lead to confusion and errors in the reprocessing procedure, increasing the risk of HAIs.
2. **Collaboration with Industry Partners:** To address these issues, AHVAP advocates for enhanced collaboration between healthcare providers and medical device manufacturers. Manufacturers should engage with end-users to understand the practical challenges faced in clinical settings and develop reprocessing instructions that are scientifically robust yet practical and executable. Standardizing these instructions across the industry will help mitigate risks associated with improper device sterilization and handling.
3. **Training and Education:** Additionally, there should be a focus on providing comprehensive training and education for healthcare professionals on reprocessing procedures. Manufacturers should offer detailed training programs and resources to ensure that staff are well-versed in the correct reprocessing techniques, thereby enhancing compliance and safety. AHVAP strongly encourages all healthcare facilities to take advantage of competency-driven training provided by the medical device manufacturer personnel.

HUMAN-FACTORS VALIDATED INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE

The usability of medical devices directly impacts patient safety and clinical outcomes. Instructions for Use must be designed with the end-user in mind, ensuring they are intuitive and easy to follow. AHVAP calls for mandatory human-factors validation of IFUs, which involves rigorous testing with actual users in real-world scenarios.

1. **Usability Testing:** Human-factors validation involves usability testing, where healthcare professionals interact with the device and its instructions in simulated clinical environments. This process helps identify potential issues that may arise during device operation, allowing for the refinement of instructions to prevent errors.
2. **Reducing Errors:** By prioritizing human-factors engineering, manufacturers can significantly reduce the likelihood of misuse and enhance overall device safety and efficacy. For example, if a device's IFU includes complex steps that are prone to misinterpretation, usability testing can reveal these weaknesses, prompting manufacturers to revise the instructions for clarity and simplicity.
3. **Inclusive Design:** Human-factors validation should also consider the diverse range of users, including varying levels of experience and expertise. Instructions should be tested and validated across different user groups to ensure they are accessible and effective for all healthcare professionals.

Main: (888) 400-6844
2870 Peachtree Rd NW #915-7500
Atlanta, GA 30305

AHVAP
Association of Healthcare Value Analysis Professionals

THE IMPORTANCE OF EASY-TO-UNDERSTAND INSTRUCTIONS

Complex medical devices often come with equally complex instructions that can be overwhelming for healthcare providers. AHVAP stresses the need for IFUs that are not only detailed but also written in plain language, free of jargon, and supplemented with clear visuals and step-by-step guides.

1. **Plain Language:** Instructions should be written in a language that is easily understandable, avoiding technical jargon and using simple, direct terms. This approach ensures that healthcare professionals, regardless of their level of expertise, can quickly and accurately comprehend the instructions.
2. **Visual Aids:** Incorporating visual aids such as diagrams, flowcharts, and images can significantly enhance the clarity of instructions. Visual aids can provide step-by-step guidance, making it easier for users to follow procedures correctly.
3. **Step-by-Step Guides:** Providing step-by-step guides that outline each action required in the use and reprocessing of a device can reduce cognitive load and minimize the risk of errors. These guides should be clear, concise, and logically organized to facilitate easy reference during clinical use.
4. **Feedback Mechanisms:** Establishing feedback mechanisms where healthcare providers can report issues or suggest improvements to IFUs can lead to continuous enhancement of instructions. Manufacturers should actively seek and incorporate this feedback to ensure that instructions evolve to meet the needs of end-users effectively.

THE ROLE OF REGULATORY BODIES AND STANDARDS ORGANIZATIONS

Regulatory bodies and standards organizations play a crucial role in ensuring the quality and safety of medical device IFUs. AHVAP encourages these entities to establish and enforce stringent guidelines for the development and validation of IFUs.

1. **Regulatory Requirements:** Regulatory bodies such as the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) should mandate human-factors validation and usability testing as part of the approval process for medical devices. This requirement will ensure that all devices entering the market have been rigorously tested for usability and safety.
2. **Industry Standards:** Standards organizations like the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) should develop comprehensive standards for the creation and validation of IFUs. These standards should address clarity, simplicity, and usability, providing a benchmark for manufacturers to follow.
3. **Post-Market Surveillance:** Continuous monitoring and post-market surveillance of medical devices can help identify issues with IFUs that may not have been apparent during pre-market testing. Regulatory bodies should implement robust systems for collecting and analyzing feedback from healthcare providers to ensure ongoing improvement of instructions.

Main: (888) 400-6844
2870 Peachtree Rd NW #915-7500
Atlanta, GA 30305

CASE STUDIES AND EXAMPLES

1. **Case Study: Reprocessing Endoscopes:** One notable example highlighting the need for better IFUs is the reprocessing of endoscopes. Improper reprocessing of these devices has been linked to outbreaks of Healthcare-Associated Infections (HAIs). Comprehensive, standardized, and easy-to-follow reprocessing instructions, developed in collaboration with healthcare professionals, could significantly reduce these risks. While tremendous efforts have been made in this area, more is still necessary to improve patient safety.
2. **Case Study: Infusion Pumps:** Infusion pumps, which deliver medications and fluids to patients, have also been associated with numerous adverse events due to user errors. Human-factors validated IFUs, incorporating plain language and visual aids, have been shown to reduce these errors and improve patient safety.

CONCLUSION

Improving Instructions for Use for medical devices is a critical step toward enhancing patient safety, optimizing device performance, and supporting healthcare professionals in their roles. The Association of Healthcare Value Analysis Professionals urges manufacturers and industry partners to prioritize the development of clear, standardized, and human-factors validated IFUs. Through collaborative efforts and a commitment to usability, we can ensure that medical devices are used safely and effectively, ultimately benefiting patients and healthcare systems alike.

AHVAP's Recommendations include the following:

1. **Collaborate with Industry Partners:** AHVAP members should establish partnerships between healthcare providers and device manufacturers to develop standardized and practical reprocessing instructions.
2. **Human-Factors Validation:** Implement mandatory human-factors testing for all medical device IFUs to ensure usability and safety.
3. **Simplify Instructions:** Create IFUs that are easy to understand, using plain language, clear visuals, and step-by-step guidance. The use of job aids should be explored to improve reliability and consistency in the application of IFUs by end users.
4. **Regulatory and Standards Support:** Encourage regulatory bodies and standards organizations to establish and enforce stringent guidelines for the development and validation of IFUs.
5. **Ongoing Education and Feedback:** Provide continuous training and establish feedback mechanisms to ensure IFUs remain relevant and effective. Role-specific competency should be evaluated for each IFU. Manufacturers should provide competency educational packages that can easily be implemented by healthcare facilities.

Main: (888) 400-6844
2870 Peachtree Rd NW #915-7500
Atlanta, GA 30305

AHVAP
Association of Healthcare Value Analysis Professionals

KEY DEFINITIONS:

- **HUMAN FACTORS:** Human factors/usability engineering focuses on the interactions between people and devices.
- **REMANUFACTURING:** Remanufacturing is the processing, conditioning, renovating, repackaging, restoring, or any other act done to a finished device that significantly changes the finished device's performance or safety specifications, or intended use.
- **REPROCESSING:** Reprocessing is defined as validated processes used to render a medical device, which has been previously used or contaminated, fit for a subsequent single use. These processes are designed to remove soil and contaminants by cleaning and to inactivate microorganisms by disinfection or sterilization.
- **SERVICING:** Servicing is the repair and/or preventive or routine maintenance of one or more parts in a finished device, after distribution, for purposes of returning it to the safety and performance specifications established by the original equipment manufacturer (OEM) and to meet its original intended use.

Date Approved: June 30, 2024

Version: 1.0

This whitepaper was made possible by the Association of Healthcare Value Analysis Professionals, Inc. (AHVAP).

It was developed to support efforts to advance safe and trusted health care delivery through evidence-based value analysis.

Visit **https://ahvap.memberclicks.net/member-benefits** to learn more and become an AHVAP member.